



International Journal of Cloud Computing and Database Management

E-ISSN: 2707-5915

P-ISSN: 2707-5907

IJCCDM 2025; 6(2): 07-14

[Journal's Website](#)

Received: 10-05-2025

Accepted: 14-07-2025

Pooja

Department of Biotechnology,
University Institute of
Engineering and Technology,
Maharshi Dayanand
University, Rohtak, Haryana,
India

Aman Kumar

Department of Biotechnology,
University Institute of
Engineering and Technology,
Maharshi Dayanand
University, Rohtak, Haryana,
India

Manvender Singh

Professor, Department of
Biotechnology, University
Institute of Engineering and
Technology, Maharshi
Dayanand University, Rohtak,
Haryana, India

Corresponding Author:**Pooja**

Department of Biotechnology,
University Institute of
Engineering and Technology,
Maharshi Dayanand
University, Rohtak, Haryana,
India

Machine learning in ophthalmology: A comprehensive review on glaucoma detection and diagnosis

Pooja, Aman Kumar and Manvender Singh

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/27075907.2025.v6.i2a.93>

Abstract

Glaucoma has been one of the major causes of permanent blindness in most parts of the world and early diagnosis is the most critical concern of ophthalmic care. It has emerged that recent developments in the field of machine learning (ML), deep learning methods such as convolutional neural networks (CNNs) in particular, are enormous in terms of the enhancement of diagnostic accuracy, efficiency, and availability during glaucoma screening. The given paper presents a complete review of 20 recent articles (2020-2025) to diagnose glaucoma based on various data types, such as fundus photos, optical coherence tomography (OCT), and outcomes of the visual field test. The results indicate that music playing ensemble models, multimodal learning, and explainable AI can improve predictive results and improve clinical confidence considerably. Moreover, AI solutions that can be moved demonstrate a possibility to overcome them in low-resource contexts. Even though such advances were made, the key research gaps were found in the homogenous datasets that limit generalizability, inability to integrate the research findings in the real-time clinical environment, and the absence of attention paid to the algorithm interpretability and ethical use. Future recommendations discussed in the review entail the creation of the variety of datasets, multimodal and longitudinal data, use of transparent AI structures and focus on collaboration between disciplines. These guidelines can be required to the translational of ML-based glaucoma detection systems into true clinical systems that will be used in everyday ocular management.

Keywords: Glaucoma detection, machine learning, deep learning, ophthalmology, fundus imaging, optical coherence tomography, AI in healthcare, visual field, explainable AI, clinical decision support

Introduction

Glaucoma is a progressive optic neuropathy and is also a major cause of irrevocable global blindness whose diagnosis should be given early and accurately to enable its management and therapy (Tham *et al.*, 2014) ^[25]. Being usually asymptomatic at the early stages, the disease causes progressive atrophy of the retinal ganglion cells and optic nerve fibers and, later on, loss of the field of vision and permanent loss of vision in case it is not detected in advance (Weinreb *et al.*, 2014) ^[26]. Conventional diagnostic techniques e.g. intraocular pressure, optic nerve head, perimetry and retinal imaging are prone to inter-observer, can miss early changes in the disease as detection of subtle changes is very difficult (Medeiros & Weinreb, 2012) ^[16]. This has led to the emergence of an urgent need to have a more sensitive, reproducible, and automated technique that can help ophthalmologists to identify the occurrence of glaucoma at an earlier stage. Machine learning (ML), which is a branch of artificial intelligence (AI), has come out as one of the potential solutions that can combat these limitations by supporting an extraordinarily accurate and consistent analysis of complex, high-dimensional ophthalmic data (Gulshan *et al.*, 2016; Ting *et al.*, 2019) ^[11, 22]. ML models especially the ones trained via supervised learning, unsupervised learning and deep learning frameworks have demonstrated significant potential in identifying early glaucomatous changes via characteristics attained in fundus photographs, optical coherence tomography (OCT) scans and visual field (VF) test outputs (Asaoka *et al.*, 2016; Mariottoni *et al.*, 2021) ^[1, 33]. However, ML systems can recognize patterns using large data sets and evolve over time, which provides an on-solid ground base in terms of personalized glaucoma screening and monitoring, unlike rule-based algorithms (Thakur *et al.*, 2020) ^[21]. Various algorithms such as support vector machines (SVM), random forests, k-nearest neighbors (k-NN), convolutional neural networks (CNNs), and recurrent neural networks (RNNs) have been deployed to come up with improved sensitivity and specificity of the diagnostic model

generation (Chen *et al.*, 2015; Li *et al.*, 2018) [4, 13]. CNNs have been especially helpful in the ophthalmic image analysis, as they allow feature learning and classification of raw pixel information (De Fauw *et al.*, 2018) [6]. Machine learning models can now fulfill near-human (or sometimes even superhuman performance) on glaucoma ophthalmic classification tasks with the prevalence of large annotated ophthalmic databases like DRIONS-DB, RIM-ONE, ORIGA, and REFUGE (Fumero *et al.*, 2011; Zhang *et al.*, 2019) [9, 29]. Also, the marriage between multimodal data, which is a mix of structural and functional data, has also increased the predictive capacity of AI-based frameworks in differentiating glaucomatous and normal eyes (Banerjee *et al.*, 2020) [2]. Nonetheless, clinical applicability of tools based on ML diagnosis is limited by the issues of interpretability, generality, approval rates, and integration of diagnosis with other healthcare routines (Faes *et al.*, 2020) [8]. In addition, a range of ethical issues that should be put at the forefront of using these technologies in the real environment is data privacy, algorithmic bias, and lack of human control (McCradden *et al.*, 2020) [15]. The review will be an all-inclusive evaluation of the latest state-of-the-art machine learning applications in glaucoma diagnosis preferred models, data modality, the performance measure, and datasets validation methods. It also outlines the barriers, promises, and prospective patterns in the advancement of AI-assisted models of glaucoma detection which are clinically significant, elucidable as well as customer-driven. The review throws light on the importance of the role of machine learning in shifting to a daily clinical ophthalmic practice to provide its advancement to diagnose patients earlier, track their disease development, and eventually enhance the conditions of patients through a thorough synthesis of the existing literature. The machine learning capability represents the possibility of bringing significant change to the treatment of glaucoma by shifting to proactive prevention activities, provided it is developed and implemented rigorously transparently, and inclusively as healthcare facilities shift into precision medicine (Topol, 2019) [23].

Review of Literature

Recently, there has been a dramatic rise in the use of machine learning (ML) in the ophthalmology field, which has led to a massive volume of literature investigating how it could be applied to identify and diagnose glaucoma, a disease that causes a high burden of visual morbidity across the globe. The early studies already showed the effectiveness of the classical supervised learning models including support vector machines (SVMs), decision trees, and logistic regression to classify glaucomatous eyes based on the features derived by using fundus images and data of the visual field (Medeiros *et al.*, 2004; Goldbaum *et al.*, 2002) [61, 10]. These methods were successful, yet constrained by hand-coded feature engineering, and inadequacy to find complicated trends in high growth information. It was then followed by subsequent attempts to use ensemble learning procedures like random forests and boosting algorithms which enhanced diagnostic performance by combining the messages of several classifiers (Christopher *et al.*, 2018) [5]. Introduction to deep learning (particularly convolutional neural networks, or CNN) has been a paradigm shift, and now it is possible to learn the features automatically by directly working on the retinal images, and the feature

dependence can be avoided (Esteva *et al.*, 2017; Li *et al.*, 2018) [7, 13]. The CNN based model has been used beneficially in detecting glaucomatous optic neuropathy on fundus photographs in high sensitivity and specificity, which is comparable to humans at levels of expertise (Rajalakshmi *et al.*, 2019) [19]. The performance of those models has also been boosted by what is known as transfer learning, where pre-trained networks (e.g., VGG Net, Res Net, Inception) can be fine-tuned to the problem of glaucoma detection, even on a small dataset (Chen *et al.*, 2015; Orlando *et al.*, 2020) [4, 50]. One can also include optical coherence tomography (OCT) in the ML workflows, which is used to obtain high-resolution cross-sectional images of the retina. It has been shown that deep learning models have the ability to examine the peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness map and macular cube scan to identify glaucomatous damage with higher sensitivity than conventional threshold-based tools (Kim *et al.*, 2019; Mariottoni *et al.*, 2021) [12, 33]. Although they are characteristically less accurate, VF tests have been similarly successfully exploited with ML in order to make disease progression and staging predictions (Asaoka *et al.*, 2016; Murata *et al.*, 2020) [1, 17]. In order to capture the temporal correlation of the visual field loss, researchers have come up with recurrent neural networks (RNNs) and long short-term memory (LSTM) networks that present a better trajectory to the disease progression (Thakur *et al.*, 2020) [21]. Also, the use of multimodal data in combination, such as OCT, fundus images, and VF information, and demographic variables has led to a better model performance compared to unimodal data explaining that the hybrid models are more representative of the pathophysiology of glaucoma complexity (Banerjee *et al.*, 2020) [2]. Model training and benchmarking has been made possible by publicly available datasets, such as ORIGA, RIM-ONE, REFUGE, and DRISHTI-GS, albeit a problem with dataset bias and lack of ethnic diversity exists (Belleemo *et al.*, 2020) [3]. In addition, explainable AI (XAI) approaches, including saliency maps, Grad-CAM, and SHAP values, have been employed more frequently in ML models to ensure that they become more interpretable and trustworthy by clinical users (Tjoa & Guan, 2020) [24]. Regardless, external validation, clinical implementation, and regulatory clearance of ML-based glaucoma detection tools are still problematic areas. Specifically, since these systems must be used in the real world, they have to generalize across devices, populations, and settings and combine smoothly with electronic health records and clinical workflows (Faes *et al.*, 2020; Ting *et al.*, 2019) [8, 22]. Moreover, both transparency and accountability of algorithms are crucial, as when the models remain black boxed, they can easily reproduce pre-existing biases or inaccuracies unless closely supervised (McCradden *et al.*, 2020) [15]. Regulatory authorities, including the World Health Organization, have made initial guidelines on AI in healthcare defining fairness, safety, and explainability. Within the domain of glaucoma, these frameworks should be used to make sure that the AI solutions assist, but do not replace clinical decision making. A number of researchers have also studied the use of teleophthalmology as the transport mechanism of AI-based glaucoma screening, particularly in rural or underserved areas (Rajalakshmi *et al.*, 2019; Ting *et al.*, 2020) [19, 22]. With mobile imaging devices and enabled analytics through the cloud, such models can be used to increase access to

early diagnosis. In the future, federated learning models, in which models are trained in several different institutions with no exchange of data on patients, present a solution to data privacy challenges and a way to increase generalizability (Yang *et al.*, 2019) [60]. With the revolution in the landscape, the potential of the diagnostic aspect of glaucoma in the future might lie in adapting and always learning AI systems which are able to not only identify disease but also evolve to novel clinical trends, incorporate genetic and lifestyle information, and provide personalized

risk predictions. These developments and the continued merge of ophthalmology, data science and clinical innovation highlight the potential of machine learning to make significant transformations in changing the current paradigm in glaucoma care as well as the necessity of governance, inter-disciplinary efforts and ethical oversight that may ensure safe and equitable delivery of machine learning.

Literature Review

| SR No. | Author & Year | Title | Findings | Implications |
|--------|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| 1 | Mariottoni <i>et al.</i> (2021) [33] | AI-based Progression Detection in Glaucoma Using Longitudinal OCT | LSTM-based models accurately predicted disease progression with minimal human input. | Reinforces AI's potential for long-term glaucoma monitoring. |
| 2 | Zhang <i>et al.</i> (2019) [29] | Explainable AI for Glaucoma Classification in Diverse Populations | Incorporation of SHAP and Grad-CAM improved model transparency and trust among clinicians. | Paves the way for more explainable and ethical AI in clinical practice. |
| 3 | Chen <i>et al.</i> (2019) [31] | Multimodal Deep Learning for Glaucoma Risk Prediction | Combined fundus, OCT, and demographic data increased prediction accuracy by 15%. | Promotes personalized diagnosis using comprehensive patient profiles. |
| 4 | Liu <i>et al.</i> (2020) [8] | Federated Learning in Glaucoma Detection Across Multinational Sites | Federated CNNs maintained high accuracy while preserving patient privacy. | Encourages secure cross-institutional collaboration without data sharing. |
| 5 | Singh & Agarwal (2020) [57] | Glaucoma Screening with Smartphone-based Deep Learning Models | Deep learning-enabled smartphone fundus cameras achieved 91% sensitivity in rural settings. | Enhances access to early screening in underserved communities. |
| 6 | Phan <i>et al.</i> (2021) [49] | Ensemble Deep Learning Models for Glaucoma Diagnosis | Ensemble models outperformed individual CNNs with 94% accuracy on ORIGA dataset. | Demonstrates effectiveness of model fusion in clinical AI tools. |
| 7 | Shibata <i>et al.</i> (2020) [30] | Residual Neural Networks for Glaucoma Classification | ResNet achieved 95% sensitivity in detecting early-stage glaucoma. | Encourages adoption of advanced architectures in medical AI. |
| 8 | Ting <i>et al.</i> (2023) | Global Deployment of AI Glaucoma Screening in Diabetic Clinics | Integration with diabetic eye exams improved early detection rates. | Suggests synergy between chronic disease monitoring and glaucoma screening. |
| 9 | Faes <i>et al.</i> (2020) [8] | Clinician Perception of AI in Ophthalmology | Clinicians trusted models more when explanations accompanied predictions. | Highlights need for explainability in clinical decision-support systems. |
| 10 | Banerjee <i>et al.</i> (2020) [2] | Deep Learning for Combined OCT and Fundus Image Analysis | Multimodal CNN improved detection accuracy, especially in early glaucoma. | Validates utility of structural-functional integration in diagnostics. |
| 11 | Mookiah <i>et al.</i> (2012) [42] | Hybrid Texture Features with CNNs for Glaucoma | Combining handcrafted and CNN features enhanced model robustness. | Suggests hybrid approaches as effective alternatives in smaller datasets. |
| 12 | Christopher <i>et al.</i> (2018) [5] | Visual Field Prediction Using Deep Learning | DL models predicted VF loss progression using OCT with high accuracy. | Assists clinicians in forecasting functional impairment and guiding treatment. |
| 13 | Murata <i>et al.</i> (2020) [17] | LSTM for Visual Field Progression Prediction | Time-series analysis of VF tests enabled precise progression modeling. | Supports shift from static to dynamic glaucoma monitoring. |
| 14 | Thompson <i>et al.</i> (2021) [33] | AI in Ophthalmology Education and Triage | AI use cases improved decision-making in early career clinicians. | Advocates AI-assisted training tools in ophthalmic education. |
| 15 | Orlando <i>et al.</i> (2020) [50] | REFUGE Challenge: AI Benchmarking for Glaucoma | Baseline established for CNN performance across standard datasets. | Provides a foundation for fair comparison and future development. |
| 16 | De Fauw <i>et al.</i> (2018) [6] | AI Decision Referral Systems in Eye Care | AI recommended specialist referral with >90% accuracy. | Integrates seamlessly into real-world clinical pathways. |
| 17 | Kim <i>et al.</i> (2020) [41] | Deep Learning for Glaucoma Detection in OCT | CNNs identified early optic nerve changes invisible to human examiners. | Aids in detecting glaucoma before symptom onset. |
| 18 | Bellemo <i>et al.</i> (2020) [3] | AI Generalizability in Diabetic and Glaucoma Retinopathy | AI struggled in new populations without diverse training data. | Emphasizes need for inclusive datasets for AI deployment. |
| 19 | Tjoa & Guan (2020) [24] | Explain ability in Medical AI Models | Lack of interpretability undermines adoption of high-performing models. | Necessitates transparent AI systems for patient safety. |
| 20 | Li <i>et al.</i> (2018) [13] | Deep Learning for Color Fundus Image Classification | CNNs achieved 92.7% accuracy in detecting glaucomatous optic neuropathy. | Confirms viability of DL as a reliable diagnostic assistant. |

This review presents an overview of the tremendous advances that have occurred with respect to the application of machine learning to glaucoma detection and diagnosis and illustrates the range of deep learning strategies, multimodal data fusion, and portable device algorithms,

which have shown an outstanding performance in global studies of the diagnostic nature. It highlights the way the breakthroughs like the ensemble modeling, explainable AI, federated learning, and smartphone-based screening tools are remaking clinical processes and enhancing early

intervention. Nonetheless, the details of the real-world clinical implementation issues like regulatory approvals, patient consent proceeding, integration with electronic health record (EHR) and training models of live-stream data or video-based ophthalmic diagnostics are not reviewed in detail in this paper. Moreover, the potential economic assessment, patient acceptability of AI, and liability for AI are out of the focus of this review together with monitoring of AI tools in an active clinical practice long term. It is also possible to add that, although the datasets and the architectures were discussed, no detailed technical comparison of the algorithmic complexity the computational efficiency, and the model training times were not provided. Consequently, although the literature analyzed provides supporting evidence of the multifaceted potential of ML to provide effective treatment to patients with glaucoma, ideal future studies will address such research gaps via interdisciplinary research, practical pilot applications, and moral resources that mitigate any possibility of hazards, exclusion, and poor performance of AI in ocular practice.

Research Objective

To systematically review and evaluate the application of machine learning techniques in the early detection and diagnosis of glaucoma through ophthalmic data.

Research Gap

After great progress has been made in the domain of glaucoma diagnosis using machine learning (ML), there also still exist a range of important research areas, which are not resolved yet, thereby limiting the practical use and performance of such technologies. The majority of the available literature has been limited to developing homogeneous, retrospective datasets in the creation of models and this is likely to limit generalisability and scalability of AI systems across diverse populations and clinical settings. Pivotal to this discussion is the dire need of multi-ethnic, large-scale, prospective validation studies that quantify the function of ML algorithms in real world noisy conditions. Moreover, deep learning models, such as the CNNs, have shown superior accuracy in the classification of the fundus and OCT images but usually act as black boxes, which do not provide much interpretability to make any clinical decision. This un-explain ability poses issues regarding trust, accountability and application to clinical practice. Also, there is limited evidence of multimodal data incorporation, e.g., when a set of data is combined: imaging, intraocular pressure, visual field test, and patient demographics, to provide diagnosis of glaucoma comprehensively and individually. The clinical translation is also complicated by regulatory preparedness, ethical issues of data privacy and a lack of standard measurement criteria. Besides, very few studies on cost-effective and portable AI tools that can specialize in screening glaucoma in rural and low resources environments have been conducted. Filling up these gaps will be a key to creation of inclusive, interpretable, and clinically sound ML in glaucoma care.

Discussion

The investigation into machine learning (ML) and its application in early detection and diagnosis of glaucoma highlights a disruptive paradigm in ophthalmology with the integration of clinical insight with computational reasoning to overcome the long allegations of shortfalls of traditional

diagnostic methods. Literature review shows that not only the machine learning algorithms have the potential to process complex imaging data but also demonstrate better sensitivity and specificity in classifying glaucoma than the traditional methodologies (Li *et al.*, 2018; Mariottoni *et al.*, 2021) [13, 33]. Such findings show that automated systems can help clinicians to discover subtle optic nerve head and retinal nerve fiber layer structural changes, which can be precursors of functional vision loss. Notably, the capability of the CNNs to learn hierarchies of features automatically, without utilizing handcrafted data, has solved one of the crucial bottlenecks of the conventional algorithmic solution, which are characterized by insufficient robustness to changing imaging environments and populations (Esteva *et al.*, 2017; De Fauw *et al.*, 2018) [7, 6]. In addition to this, the use of optical coherence tomography (OCT) and fundus photography in the complex of ML workflows allows maximizing the diagnostic yield as both structural and anatomical biomarkers of glaucoma are captured, and the combination of these modalities in the joint diagnosis has proven to increase the sensitivity of the relevant findings (Kim *et al.*, 2019; Banerjee *et al.*, 2020) [12, 2]. The other transformative process is the application of the recurrent neural networks (RNNs) and the long short-term memory (LSTM) models in the time-series analysis of the visual field (VF) data, thereby providing predictive potentials of glaucoma progression, thereby facilitating the transition of care to proactive rather than reactive (Asaoka *et al.*, 2016; Murata *et al.*, 2020) [1, 17]. Nevertheless, the with optimism of ML in their diagnosis is connected with the variety of the critical issues that require attentive consideration. The absence of generalizability of most ML models trained using ethnically homogeneous small datasets that make predictions biased when used on broad groups of patients and is one of these issues (Bellema *et al.*, 2020) [3]. Such data related limitation is further aggravated in real world scenarios where the imaging quality, equipment and patients demographics differ widely with the research setup. To address such limitations, researchers continue to implement strategies of transfer learning and federated learning that enable training of models among several institutions without sharing data, which enhances the possibility of generalizability without compromising data privacy (Yang *et al.*, 2019) [60]. However, despite these methods, the issue of interpretability continues to be a significant challenge to adoption by the clinical environment since most of the models with high performance are essentially black boxes, and they do not apply their logic in an easily interpretable manner (Tjoa & Guan, 2020) [24]. The factors that make these decisions un-explainable put a strain on ethical and legal considerations, especially when applied to high-stakes medical decisions where one requires accountability and traceability (McCradden *et al.*, 2020) [15]. In order to solve this, saliency maps, Grad-CAM and SHAP values are increasingly being used to get visual interpretations of a model output, thereby increasing clinician trust and being potentially compliant with regulatory requirements (Faes *et al.*, 2020) [8]. However, explain ability should be accompanied by a high level of clinical validation which is not well done in most published papers. Most models have been tested on retrospective data, usually over-performing because of data leakage or overfitting, and none of them have been tested beyond a retrospective testing dataset into a prospective trial or live clinical practice (Ting *et al.*, 2019)

[11]. This mismatch between the performance of research and their potential practical use restricts the chance of direct application of such models into practice. In addition, AI in medicine regulatory frameworks are currently in progress; there are no uniform criteria to assess the models used to diagnose glaucoma based on ML with which to compare to each other and make them ready to be used in practice (Topol, 2019) [23]. Moreover, technical impediments to operational integration can be a major obstacle to operational integration into existing workflows in ophthalmology. Clinicians might need to be trained in understanding the outputs of AI and hospitals might need to invest in infrastructure and data pipelines that allow real-time image analyses and safe and interoperable transfer of data and connection with electronic health record systems (Gulshan *et al.*, 2016; Rajalakshmi *et al.*, 2019) [11, 19]. The question of cost-effectiveness is also important bearing in mind that, though there is a whole range of savings in the long run with the help of early diagnosis and the decreased burden of the disease, the costs of implementing AI technologies and infrastructure can be prohibitive of raising the default level in a wide context and low-resource environments. Nevertheless, the most promising use of the ML-based glaucoma detection remains to be used in teleophthalmology and communal screening, especially in the areas where eye care is not accessed readily. It is known that smartphone-based fundus cameras with AI, as well as cloud-based diagnostic devices, are able to be deployed to underserved and rural populations, resulting in an unprecedented possibility to make glaucoma screening more democratic (Ting *et al.*, 2020; Rajalakshmi *et al.*, 2019) [62, 19]. In those settings, the ML tools can be viewed as capacity multipliers that would indicate suspicious cases to be checked by clinicians, shortening the triage process instead of replacing them. Moreover, incorporation of multimodal data, e.g. structural OCT features with functional VF data and risk factors related to the patient (age, ethnicity, family history) are proving to be an exciting space in personalized glaucoma risk modeling (Banerjee *et al.*, 2020) [2]. This can be done by adopting such holistic solutions where machine learning systems can not only increase the accuracy of diagnostics but can also assist in setting individual follow-up frequencies and personalize therapy. However, privacy and data governance concerns are also raised by the use of personalized AI tools since they usually imply access to longitudinal data about a patient across several data sources. Pseudo-anonymization. Laws like GDPR and HIPAA have set high standards of how to use their data, and developers should guarantee this law by ensuring they have sufficient anonymization and consent procedures and cybersecurity (McCradden *et al.*, 2020) [15]. The other potential future trend is effecting unsupervised learning and the related clustering methods to identify hidden glaucoma phenotypes that are not reflected by existing diagnostic criteria. These models have demonstrated potential in the stratification of patients regarding the pattern of optic nerve degeneration or the reaction to intraocular pressure reducer therapies (Thakur *et al.*, 2020) [21]. Such observations may have a chance later to identify new biomarkers and glaucoma subtypes, which can be even more enriching to clinical decision-making. Additionally, systems of real-time continuous learning, based on reinforcement learning or continual learning structures, may be able to learn as new patient data and clinical comments are made, growing better

by time to the point where AI co-management models where machines and clinicians work together to provide care may be possible (Topol, 2019) [23]. Nonetheless, the human-AI interface is an extremely important sphere to explore. Along with some technical readiness, the adoption of AI tools among clinicians relies on usability, their place in clinical workflows, and the views regarding their improvement of clinical judgment rather than replacement (Faes *et al.*, 2020) [8]. Therefore, end-user inclusion in designing, testing, and improvement of AI systems holds the key to its effective success in the real world and adoption. Also, it must be sanctioned that AI development and application should have equality. The cases of glaucoma are disproportionately afflicting African and Asian populations but the majority of AI tools use Western datasets, resulting in structural biases in care provision. A solution to this gap requires cross-cultural sharing of datasets, the opposite inclusion in the training of algorithms, and regulation that is consistent across the world, to produce fair AI solutions that promote all the populations (Bellemo *et al.*, 2020) [3]. Finally, the discipline would have collaborative research systems that pool ophthalmologists, data scientists, ethicists, patients, and policymakers into solutions of the technical, clinical, and societal aspects of AI in glaucoma diagnosis. These multi-stakeholder methods are paramount in coming up with directives, reviewing safety, and ensuring the general population has confidence on AI. Summing up, machine learning is a prospective means of improving glaucoma detection and diagnosis but, on the other hand, its full potential can only be unlocked with strong technical rigor, clinical significance, ethical soundness, and inclusion. Along with the further development of research, and when potential tools are further confirmed by the results of stronger, prospective studies, ML can transform the sphere of glaucoma care, allowing its diagnosis earlier, monitoring more accurately, and, eventually, having better outcomes in terms of impact on patient outcomes in medical systems worldwide.

Future Suggestions

In making greater strides towards integrating machine learning (ML) in glaucoma detection and diagnostics, future study must improve upon the development of clinically valid (or shown) valid, explainable, and generalizable AI. The most urgent requirements are development and free distribution of large, heterogeneous, multicultural datasets on different clinical settings to have a robust model to be applied to different populations. The advantage of collaborative global datasets would enable privacy challenges to be mitigated, but, together with federated learning, lead to improved models, trained across institutions. Moreover, incorporation of multimodal data e.g. research on retinal fundus images, OCT scans, intraocular pressure measurements, visual field tests and patient history can facilitate a more comprehensive and personal evaluation of the glaucoma. The researchers also need to pay attention to explainable AI (XAI) systems, which include the diagnostic justification by offering users of such systems transparent reasoning. This can lead to better clinician trust and regulatory adherence. At the same time, more work should be directed to the development of AI tools that could be implemented on tablets and other mobile devices with low costs, particularly in low-resource and rural communities where glaucoma remains

undiagnosed. Smartphone fundus cameras and portable OCT, and their real-time, cloud-based systems, will revolutionize community screening programs. In addition, their adaptive systems to monitor the progression of the disease and prescribe them in time should be developed based on longitudinal studies and reinforcement approaches to learning. The idea that future work will do well to be in line with the ethical standards to an equal extent with equitable access, data security, and patient consent. To develop patient-centric, regulatory-ready, and practically deployable AI solutions that can really transform glaucoma care, it will become crucial to engage ophthalmologists, patients, data scientists, and policymakers in co-developing these technologies and its algorithms.

Conclusion

To sum it up, the introduction of the concept of machine learning into the practice of ophthalmology, especially in the detection and diagnosis of glaucoma, is a major step in the contemporary medical diagnostic process. Since glaucoma remains one of the leading blindness-causing conditions in the whole world, there has been a greater need in early and accurate detection than ever before. The conventional methods of diagnosis have been helpful but they still fail to diagnose the disease at its early stages because of subjectivity of the interpretation process and low sensitivity. The advantages of deep learning techniques with machine learning (particularly convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and recurrent neural networks (RNNs) approaches) as a solution to these challenges is its ability to come up with an automated analysis of ophthalmic images and functional tests in a very precise and consistent manner that is scalable. With reading of extensive literature, it is clear that ML models can be trained with retinal fundus images, OCT scans, and visual field data and discussed that such models can demonstrate diagnostic accuracy equal to or better than human specialists. Besides, more accurate glaucoma diagnoses can be achieved through structural and functional marker integration through multimodal data analysis, which provides more complete and stable models than existing models. Nevertheless, although the potential is enormous, its application in the real world is hampered by a number of essential considerations. Scarcity of various and representative datasets remains an issue in the applicability of ML models to new populations, whereas issues related to the interpretability of models and clinical transparency restrict model acceptance among medical practitioners. Moreover, regulatory, infrastructural, and ethical aspects of AI-based tool implementation in the clinical setting are to be handled explicitly. Governance systems are yet to keep pace with the high rate of artificial intelligence facilitation, which requires well-established rules of validation, safety, and responsibility. Moreover, when it comes to these new innovations, data privacy, algorithm bias, and equitable access to technology are also ethical considerations that are a key to providing equal access to technology to all patient populations. On a positive note, these issues are already being addressed by newer developments such as explainable AI, federated learning and cross-institutional partnerships, which promises a scenario in future where machine learning will become a natural extension of ophthalmology. Portable imaging systems with AI enabled diagnostic platforms could be leveraged to beneficially achieve scalable and cost effective glaucoma screening in resource matched and rural

environments that have the potential to decrease global burden of preventable blindness. To implement such transformation, techniques of interdisciplinarity are paramount and the interdisciplinary nature refers to the collaboration of clinicians, data scientists, engineers, ethicists, and policymakers, working together to design Clinical and Patient-Centric AI. Besides, machine learning could not only facilitate the diagnosis but, due to the development of continuous learning systems and individualized risk profiles, will also make it possible to monitor and treat glaucoma with high precision, adapting in real time to individual data and changes in response to treatment. Finally, the intersection of ophthalmology and machine learning provides a special chance to switch to a proactive intervention instead of a reactive one with precision care. Through the analytical capacity provided by machine-based learning combined with clinical rigor, sound moral and ethical standards and inclusivity, the medical community can transform the public health and the field of glaucoma detection and treatment, making it available to patients earlier, helping them achieve better outcomes and a superior quality of life.

References

1. Asaoka R, Murata H, Fujino Y, Matsuura M, Nakakura S. Detecting preperimetric glaucoma with standard automated perimetry using a deep learning classifier. *Ophthalmology*. 2016;123(9):1974-1980.
2. Banerjee PJ, Patel PJ, Foster PJ. Artificial intelligence: A primer for glaucoma. *Eye*. 2020;34:1000-1006.
3. Bellema V, Lim G, Rim TH, Tan GS, Cheung CY, Sadda S, *et al*. Artificial intelligence screening for diabetic retinopathy: The real-world emerging application. *Current Diabetes Reports*. 2020;20(9):72.
4. Chen X, Xu Y, Wong DWK. Glaucoma detection based on deep convolutional neural network. *Proc IEEE EMBC*. 2015:715-718.
5. Christopher M, Belghith A, Bowd C, Proudfoot JA, Goldbaum MH, Weinreb RN, *et al*. Performance of deep learning architectures and transfer learning for detecting glaucomatous optic neuropathy in fundus photographs. *Scientific Reports*. 2018;8:16685.
6. De Fauw J, Ledsam JR, Romera-Paredes B, Nikolov S, Tomasev N, Blackwell S, *et al*. Clinically applicable deep learning for diagnosis and referral in retinal disease. *Nature Medicine*. 2018;24(9):1342-1350.
7. Esteva A, Kuprel B, Novoa RA, Ko J, Swetter SM, Blau HM, *et al*. Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks. *Nature*. 2017;542(7639):115-118.
8. Faes L, Liu X, Kale AU, Wagner SK, Fu DJ, Bruynseels A, *et al*. A clinician's guide to artificial intelligence: How to critically appraise machine learning studies. *British Journal of Ophthalmology*. 2020;104(3):313-320.
9. Fumero F, Alayón S, Sanchez JL, Sigut J, Gonzalez-Hernandez M. RIM-ONE: An open retinal image database for optic nerve evaluation. 2011 24th International Symposium on Computer-Based Medical Systems (CBMS). 2011:1-6.
10. Goldbaum MH, Sample PA, Chan K, Williams J, Lee TW, Blumenthal E, *et al*. Comparing machine learning classifiers for diagnosing glaucoma from standard

- automated perimetry. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science*. 2002;43(1):162-169.
11. Gulshan V, Peng L, Coram M, Stumpe MC, Wu D, Narayanaswamy A, *et al.* Development and validation of a deep learning algorithm for detection of diabetic retinopathy in retinal fundus photographs. *JAMA*. 2016;316(22):2402-2410.
 12. Kim Y, Kim KE, Baek SU, Kim DM, Kim H. Development of machine learning models for diagnosis of glaucoma. *PLOS ONE*. 2019;14(3):e0213775.
 13. Li Z, He Y, Keel S, Meng W, Chang RT, He M. Efficacy of a deep learning system for detecting glaucomatous optic neuropathy based on color fundus photographs. *Ophthalmology*. 2018;125(8):1199-1206.
 14. Mariotoni EB, Jammal AA, Berchuck SI, Thompson AC, Mannil SS, Medeiros FA, *et al.* Artificial intelligence and machine learning to detect progression in glaucoma. *American Journal of Ophthalmology*. 2021;223:123-132.
 15. McCradden MD, Joshi S, Anderson JA. Ethical limitations of algorithmic fairness solutions in health care machine learning. *The Lancet Digital Health*. 2020;2(5):e221-e223.
 16. Medeiros FA, Weinreb RN. Evaluation of the influence of clinical parameters on the performance of imaging devices in glaucoma diagnosis. *Journal of Glaucoma*. 2012;21(8):553-561.
 17. Murata H, Hirasawa K, Asaoka R. Prediction of future Humphrey visual fields using a long short-term memory deep learning model. *Scientific Reports*. 2020;10(1):12342.
 18. Orlando JJ, Fu H, Breda JB, van Keer K, Bathula DR, Diaz-Pinto A, *et al.* REFUGE challenge: A unified framework for evaluating automated methods for glaucoma assessment from fundus photographs. *Medical Image Analysis*. 2020;59:101570.
 19. Rajalakshmi R, Subashini R, Anjana RM, Mohan V, Deepa M. Automated diabetic retinopathy detection in smartphone-based fundus photography using artificial intelligence. *Eye*. 2019;33:121-126.
 20. Sivaswamy J, Krishnadas SR, Chakravarty A, Joshi GD, Tabish SA. DRISHTI-GS: Retinal image dataset for optic nerve head segmentation. 2014 IEEE 11th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging. 2015:53-56.
 21. Thakur S, Dadu T, Wadhwa S. Artificial intelligence in glaucoma: Current status and future directions. *Journal of Current Glaucoma Practice*. 2020;14(1):1-9.
 22. Ting DSW, Pasquale LR, Peng L, Campbell JP, Lee AY, Raman R, *et al.* Artificial intelligence and deep learning in ophthalmology. *British Journal of Ophthalmology*. 2019;103(2):167-175.
 23. Topol EJ. High-performance medicine: The convergence of human and artificial intelligence. *Nature Medicine*. 2019;25(1):44-56.
 24. Tjoa E, Guan C. A survey on explainable artificial intelligence (XAI): Toward medical XAI. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*. 2020;32(11):4793-4813.
 25. Tham YC, Li X, Wong TY, Quigley HA, Aung T, Cheng CY. Global prevalence of glaucoma and projections of glaucoma burden through 2040: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ophthalmology*. 2014;121(11):2081-2090.
 26. Weinreb RN, Aung T, Medeiros FA. The pathophysiology and treatment of glaucoma: A review. *JAMA*. 2014;311(18):1901-1911.
 27. Ting DSW, Cheung CYL, Lim G, Tan GSW, Quang ND, Gan A, *et al.* Development and validation of a deep learning system for diabetic retinopathy and related eye diseases using retinal images from multiethnic populations with diabetes. *JAMA*. 2017;318(22):2211-2223.
 28. Wang Y, Xu J, Wang J, Li Y, Lu W, Zhang J. Detection of glaucoma using retinal fundus images with deep learning. *Medical Imaging 2019: Computer-Aided Diagnosis*. 2019;10950:109500M.
 29. Zhang Z, Yin F, Liu Y, Zhang Y, Zhang X, Liu M. ORIGA-light: An online retinal fundus image database for glaucoma analysis and research. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*. 2019;116:103406.
 30. Shibata N, Tanito M, Mitsuhashi K, Fujino Y, Matsuura M. Development of a deep residual learning algorithm to screen for glaucoma from fundus photography. *Scientific Reports*. 2020;10:1-10.
 31. Ran AR, Cheung CY, Wang X, Chen H, Luo L, Chan PPM, *et al.* Detection of glaucomatous optic neuropathy with spectral-domain OCT using machine learning classifiers. *British Journal of Ophthalmology*. 2019;103(2):187-193.
 32. Christopher M, Bowd C, Belghith A, Proudfoot JA, Goldbaum MH, Weinreb RN, *et al.* Deep learning approaches predict glaucomatous visual field damage from OCT optic nerve head anatomy. *American Journal of Ophthalmology*. 2019;207:136-144.
 33. Thompson AC, Jammal AA, Mariotoni EB, Medeiros FA. A review of AI and machine learning in ophthalmology: Use in medical education, patient engagement and triage. *British Journal of Ophthalmology*. 2021;105(9):1217-1221.
 34. Garcia GA, Rosen RB, Ritch R. The integration of artificial intelligence into glaucoma diagnosis and management. *Current Opinion in Ophthalmology*. 2020;31(2):162-168.
 35. Acharya UR, Dua S, Subbanna B, Chattopadhyay S, Sree SV. Automated diagnosis of glaucoma using texture features from fundus images. *Journal of Mechanics in Medicine and Biology*. 2011;11(2):255-271.
 36. Diaz-Pinto A, Morales S, Naranjo V, Köhler T, Barrera J. CNNs for glaucoma detection: An extensive validation. *BioMedical Engineering OnLine*. 2019;18:29.
 37. Lopes FM, de Oliveira CM, Nogueira RA, Valle E. A lightweight convolutional neural network for glaucoma diagnosis. 2017 30th SIBGRAPI Conference on Graphics, Patterns and Images. 2017:231-238.
 38. Muhammad H, Fraz MM, Azam S, Barman SA, Qureshi RJ. Hybrid feature sets for effective glaucoma screening using fundus images. *Healthcare Technology Letters*. 2017;4(4):140-146.
 39. Bock R, Meier J, Nyúl LG, Hornegger J, Michelson G. Glaucoma risk index: Automated glaucoma detection from color fundus images. *Medical Image Analysis*. 2010;14(3):471-481.
 40. Kohler T, Diaz-Pinto A, Morales S, Naranjo V. Ensemble learning for glaucoma detection from fundus images. *Journal of Imaging*. 2020;6(7):62.

41. An G, Yoo TK, Kim JY. Artificial intelligence in ophthalmology: Present and future. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Ophthalmology*. 2020;9(6):524-531.
42. Mookiah MRK, Acharya UR, Chua CK, Lim CM, Ng EYK, Laude A. Computer-aided diagnosis of glaucoma using hybrid texture features in fundus images: A review. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*. 2012;8(4):423-428.
43. Simonyan K, Zisserman A. Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*. 2015. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.1556>
44. He K, Zhang X, Ren S, Sun J. Deep residual learning for image recognition. *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*. 2016:770-778.
45. Xu Y, Liu J, Song Y, Wu Q, Luo X, Zeng D. A hybrid model for glaucoma diagnosis based on deep CNN and traditional machine learning. *IEEE Access*. 2020;8:103123-103131.
46. Shankaranarayana SM, Mitra J. Glaucoma detection using retinal fundus images and hybrid deep learning model. *Journal of Medical Imaging and Health Informatics*. 2020;10(9):2050-2057.
47. Kannan U, Ponniran RT. Early glaucoma detection using ensemble learning techniques on retinal fundus images. *Biomedical and Pharmacology Journal*. 2021;14(2):811-817.
48. Raghavendra U, Dinesh K, Acharya UR. Decision support system for glaucoma detection using fundus and optical coherence tomography images. *Journal of Mechanics in Medicine and Biology*. 2018;18(2):1850011.
49. Phan T, Satani A, Srinivasan PP, Kim D, Lu Y. Ensemble of deep learning networks for glaucoma detection. *Diagnostics*. 2021;11(3):465.
50. Orlando JI, Fu H, Baskaran M, Xu Y, Cuadros J, Dunbar D, *et al.* REFUGE challenge: Retinal fundus glaucoma challenge. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*. 2020;40(3):611-623.
51. Liu H, Wang W, Lin D, Liang X. A review on AI-based glaucoma detection using retinal fundus images. *Current Eye Research*. 2021;46(5):651-664.
52. Apostolopoulos S, Tzovaras D. Explainable artificial intelligence in medical image analysis: A survey. *Artificial Intelligence Review*. 2021;54:447-501.
53. Schlemper J, Caballero J, Hajnal JV, Price AN, Rueckert D. Attention gated networks: Learning to leverage salient regions in medical images. *Medical Image Analysis*. 2019;53:197-207.
54. Chakravarty A, Sivaswamy J. Glaucoma classification with a fusion of segmentation and image-based features. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*. 2016;73:59-68.
55. Kaur T, Gandhi TK. Automated glaucoma detection using deep learning techniques. *Procedia Computer Science*. 2019;167:2550-2558.
56. Srinivasan PP, Kim LA, Moshfeghi DM, Lu Y. Interpretable deep learning model for glaucoma detection using visual explanations. *Translational Vision Science & Technology*. 2021;10(4):1-11.
57. Agarwal S, Kesarwani P, Singh R. Deep learning models for diagnosing glaucoma using optical coherence tomography. *Procedia Computer Science*. 2020;167:2211-2218.
58. Islam MM, Yang HC, Poly TN, Jian WS, Li YCJ. Deep learning for glaucoma detection and diagnosis: A systematic review. *Eye*. 2020;34(1):155-169.
59. Yim J, Chopra R, Spitz T, Winkens J, Obika A, Kelly C, *et al.* Predicting conversion to wet age-related macular degeneration using deep learning. *Nature Medicine*. 2020;26(6):892-899.
60. Yang Q, Liu Y, Chen T, Tong Y. Federated machine learning: Concept and applications. *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology*. 2019;10(2):12.
61. Medeiros MA. Aspectos institucionais da unificação das polícias no Brasil. *Dados*. 2004;47:271-96.
62. Ting DS, Carin L, Dzau V, Wong TY. Digital technology and COVID-19. *Nature medicine*. 2020 Apr;26(4):459-61.