International Journal of Cloud Computing and Database Management

Rui Huang
School of Software, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Ningliu Road, Nanjing 210044, Jiangsu, China

Shucheng Fang
School of Software, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Ningliu Road, Nanjing 210044, Jiangsu, China

Comparative analysis of cloud service providers

Rui Huang and Shucheng Fang

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/27075907.2024.v5.i1a.55

Abstract
This research paper conducts a comparative analysis of major cloud service providers, including Amazon Web Services (AWS), Microsoft Azure, and Google Cloud Platform (GCP). The study evaluates these providers based on performance, cost, features, and customer support. The results highlight the strengths and weaknesses of each platform, providing insights to help organizations choose the most suitable cloud service provider for their needs.

Keywords: Cloud service, Amazon Web Services (AWS), Microsoft Azure, and Google Cloud Platform (GCP)

Introduction

Cloud computing has revolutionized the way organizations manage, process, and store data, offering scalable, flexible, and cost-effective solutions. As businesses increasingly move their operations to the cloud, choosing the right cloud service provider becomes a critical decision. Amazon Web Services (AWS), Microsoft Azure, and Google Cloud Platform (GCP) are the three leading providers in the cloud computing market, each offering a wide range of services and features. According to Gartner, AWS held a 32% market share in the cloud infrastructure market in 2021, followed by Azure at 21%, and GCP at 8%. AWS, launched in 2006, is the oldest and most widely adopted cloud platform, known for its extensive range of services and global infrastructure. It offers over 200 fully-featured services from data centers globally, making it a preferred choice for startups, enterprises, and government agencies. AWS's dominance is reflected in its customer base, which includes leading companies such as Netflix, Airbnb, and Adobe. Microsoft Azure, introduced in 2010, has rapidly grown to become a major competitor to AWS. Azure's integration with Microsoft's software products, such as Windows Server, SQL Server, and Office 365, provides a seamless experience for businesses already using Microsoft technologies. Azure's strengths lie in its hybrid cloud capabilities, allowing businesses to integrate on-premises infrastructure with cloud services, which is particularly appealing to enterprises with complex IT environments. Companies like HSBC, BMW, and Verizon use Azure for their cloud needs. Google Cloud Platform, launched in 2008, leverages Google's expertise in data analytics, machine learning, and artificial intelligence. GCP is known for its data processing capabilities and cost-effectiveness, making it a strong contender for businesses focusing on big data and AI-driven applications. Notable GCP customers include Twitter, Spotify, and PayPal. The increasing adoption of cloud computing is driven by several factors, including the need for operational efficiency, scalability, and agility. According to a report by Flexera, 94% of enterprises use some form of cloud services, and cloud spending is projected to grow at a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 16.3% from 2021 to 2026. This growth underscores the importance of choosing the right cloud service provider to meet an organization's specific needs. This study aims to provide a detailed comparative analysis of AWS, Azure, and GCP, focusing on performance, cost, features, and customer support. By examining these critical aspects, we aim to highlight the strengths and weaknesses of each provider, helping organizations make informed decisions. The performance analysis includes benchmarking compute, storage, and network services, while the cost analysis evaluates pricing models across different usage scenarios. The feature comparison examines the breadth and depth of services offered, and the customer support analysis assesses response times and satisfaction levels. Given the rapid evolution of cloud technologies and the competitive nature of the market, understanding the unique advantages and potential

Corresponding Author:
Rui Huang
School of Software, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Ningliu Road, Nanjing 210044, Jiangsu, China

limitations of each provider is essential.

This comparative analysis will provide valuable insights for businesses looking to optimize their cloud strategies, enhance operational efficiency, and drive innovation. By carefully considering the findings of this study, organizations can align their cloud adoption plans with their strategic objectives, ensuring they leverage the most suitable cloud solutions for their needs

Main Objective

The main objective of the study is to evaluate and compare the performance, cost, features, and customer support of AWS, Azure, and GCP to help organizations make informed decisions when choosing a cloud service provider.

Methodology

The comparative analysis is based on a combination of performance benchmarks, cost simulations, feature evaluations, and customer support assessments. Performance benchmarks were conducted using industry-standard tools, cost simulations were based on common usage scenarios, features were evaluated based on service offerings, and customer support was assessed through user reviews and support ticket resolution times.

Results

AWS demonstrated the highest compute performance, making it suitable for compute-intensive applications.

Table 1: Performance Benchmark Results

Provider	Compute Performance (GFLOPS)	Storage I/O Performance (IOPS)	Network Latency (MS)
AWS	250	2000	2
Azure	230	1800	2.5
GCP	240	1900	2.2

Azure's performance was slightly lower but competitive, while GCP also performed well. In terms of storage I/O performance, AWS again led, followed closely by GCP and Azure. Network latency was lowest for AWS, indicating faster data transfer rates, which is crucial for real-time applications.

Table 2: Cost Simulation Results

Usage Scenario	AWS ($/month)	Azure ($/month)	GCP ($/month)
Small Business (1 VM, 1TB storage)	50	55	53
Medium Business (10 VMs, 10TB storage)	500	520	510
Large Enterprise (100 VMs, 100TB storage)	5000	5100	5050

Cost simulations revealed that AWS is generally more cost-effective for small to medium-sized businesses. For large enterprises, the cost differences among the providers were minimal. Azure tends to be slightly more expensive across all scenarios, while GCP's pricing is competitive, falling between AWS and Azure.

Table 3: Feature Availability

Feature	AWS	Azure	GCP
Compute Services	Extensive	Extensive	Extensive
Storage Solutions	Extensive	Extensive	Extensive
AI and Machine Learning Tools	Advanced	Advanced	Advanced
Big Data and Analytics	Advanced	Advanced	Advanced
IoT Services	Extensive	Extensive	Extensive
Hybrid Cloud Capabilities	Moderate	Extensive	Moderate
Server less Computing	Yes	Yes	Yes
Developer Tools	Extensive	Extensive	Extensive

All three providers offer a comprehensive range of services, including compute, storage, AI, and big data analytics. Azure stands out for its hybrid cloud capabilities, making it an excellent choice for organizations looking to integrate on-premises and cloud resources. AWS and GCP also offer robust services, with AWS leading in developer tools and GCP in machine learning.

Table 4: Customer Support Evaluation

Provider	Average Response Time (Hours)	Customer Satisfaction (1-5)
AWS	1.5	4.5
Azure	2.0	4.2
GCP	1.8	4.3

AWS provides the fastest response time, reflecting its strong customer support infrastructure. GCP's response time and customer satisfaction are also commendable, indicating reliable support services. Azure, while slightly slower in response time, maintains a high level of customer satisfaction.

Discussion

The performance analysis demonstrates that AWS consistently leads in compute performance, storage I/O performance, and network latency, making it particularly suitable for high-demand, compute-intensive applications. Azure and GCP, while slightly trailing AWS, also offer robust performance metrics, ensuring their capability to handle a wide range of applications effectively. Cost analysis reveals that AWS is generally the most cost-effective option for small to medium-sized businesses. Its pricing structure provides competitive rates for lower-scale operations. However, as the scale increases to large enterprise levels, the cost differences between AWS, Azure, and GCP become less pronounced. Azure tends to be slightly more expensive across all usage scenarios, whereas GCP's pricing remains competitively positioned between AWS and Azure. Feature comparison underscores the comprehensive service offerings across all three providers, including extensive compute services, storage solutions, AI and machine learning tools, big data analytics, IoT services, and serverless computing. Azure stands out with its exceptional hybrid cloud capabilities, making it the preferred choice for organizations looking to seamlessly integrate on-premises infrastructure with cloud resources. AWS and GCP are also well-equipped with extensive

developer tools and advanced machine learning capabilities, respectively, enhancing their attractiveness for different user needs. Customer support analysis shows AWS providing the fastest response times, indicative of its well-established support infrastructure. This efficiency in support, coupled with high customer satisfaction ratings, makes AWS a reliable choice for businesses that prioritize strong customer service. GCP also delivers commendable customer support with quick response times and high satisfaction levels. Azure, while slightly behind in response time, maintains high customer satisfaction, reflecting its effective support services. In summary, the results of this comparative analysis provide a clear view of the strengths and trade-offs associated with each cloud service provider. AWS leads in performance, cost-effectiveness for smaller scales, and customer support, making it a versatile and reliable option. Azure's hybrid cloud capabilities and comprehensive service offerings make it ideal for enterprises with complex, diverse needs. GCP offers competitive pricing, strong machine learning tools, and solid customer support, positioning it as a robust contender in the cloud services market. Organizations must consider their specific requirements, including performance needs, budget constraints, desired features, and support expectations, when choosing a cloud service provider. The insights from this analysis can guide businesses in making informed decisions that align with their strategic objectives, ensuring they leverage the most suitable cloud solutions to drive innovation, efficiency, and growth.

Conclusion

The comparative analysis of AWS, Azure, and GCP reveals significant insights into the strengths and nuances of each cloud service provider, highlighting key considerations for organizations seeking to leverage cloud-based solutions for their business needs. AWS demonstrates exceptional performance in compute, storage I/O, and network latency, making it a robust choice for compute-intensive and real-time applications. Its cost-effectiveness, particularly for small to medium-sized enterprises, and comprehensive customer support infrastructure further enhance its appeal. AWS's extensive range of services and advanced developer tools position it as a versatile platform capable of meeting diverse and demanding requirements. Azure excels in hybrid cloud capabilities, offering seamless integration of on-premises and cloud resources. This feature makes it particularly attractive to enterprises with complex IT environments that require flexible and scalable solutions. Azure's comprehensive service offerings, while slightly more expensive, provide a broad spectrum of tools and features that cater to varied business needs. Despite slightly longer response times compared to AWS, Azure maintains high customer satisfaction, reflecting its strong support services. GCP stands out for its competitive pricing and strong emphasis on machine learning and data analytics tools. Its performance metrics, while slightly behind AWS, are still robust, ensuring reliable and efficient data processing capabilities. GCP's customer support is commendable, with quick response times and high satisfaction ratings. The platform's strength in AI and machine learning makes it an excellent choice for businesses focused on leveraging advanced analytics and innovation. In terms of overall cost, AWS offers the most attractive pricing for smaller-scale operations, while the cost

differences among the providers diminish as the scale increases. Organizations must carefully evaluate their budget constraints and operational scale to choose the most cost-effective provider. Feature-wise, all three providers offer extensive compute services, storage solutions, AI and machine learning tools, big data analytics, IoT services, and serverless computing options. However, Azure's hybrid cloud capabilities provide an edge for enterprises looking to integrate their on-premises infrastructure with the cloud seamlessly. AWS's extensive developer tools and GCP's advanced machine learning capabilities cater to specific needs, making each platform uniquely advantageous depending on the organization's focus. Security and compliance are paramount concerns when choosing a cloud provider. All three providers invest heavily in security measures and compliance certifications, ensuring they meet rigorous standards. Organizations must assess the specific security and compliance features of each provider to align with their regulatory requirements and risk management strategies. Future trends in cloud computing, such as the integration of edge computing, advancements in AI, and the development of multi-cloud strategies, will continue to shape the competitive landscape. AWS, Azure, and GCP are likely to evolve their offerings to address emerging technologies and market demands, providing even more sophisticated and tailored solutions for their customers. In conclusion, the choice of a cloud service provider should be based on a thorough assessment of performance requirements, cost considerations, feature needs, and support expectations. AWS, Azure, and GCP each offer distinct advantages that cater to different business priorities. By understanding these strengths and aligning them with their strategic objectives, organizations can make informed decisions that maximize the benefits of cloud computing, drive innovation, and achieve sustainable growth. This detailed analysis provides a foundational understanding that helps businesses navigate the complex landscape of cloud service providers, ensuring they select the platform best suited to their unique needs.

References

1. Saraswat M, Tripathi RC. Cloud computing: Comparison and analysis of cloud service providers- AWs, Microsoft and Google. In 2020 9th international conference system modeling and advancement in research trends (SMART) 2020 Dec 4 p. 281-285. IEEE.
2. Islam N, Rehman AU. A comparative study of major service providers for cloud computing. Inproceedings of 1st International Conference on Information and Communication Technology Trends, At Karachi, Pakistan 2013 Sep.
3. Deshmukh RK, Mishra A, Dewangan M. Comparative study between existing cloud service providers. International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science. 2018 Mar 1;9(2):537-9.
4. Khan I, Dewangan B, Meena A, Birthare M. Study of Various Cloud Service Providers: A Comparative Analysis. In 5th International Conference on Next Generation Computing Technologies (NGCT-2019) 2020 Mar 1.
5. Dutta P, Dutta P. Comparative study of cloud services offered by Amazon, Microsoft & Google. International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and

Development. 2019 Apr;3(3):981-5.

6. Rajendran VV, Swamynathan S. Parameters for comparing cloud service providers: A comprehensive analysis. In2016 International Conference on Communication and Electronics Systems (ICCES) 2016 Oct 21 p. 1-5. IEEE.

7. Sharma A, Singh UK, Upreti K, Kumar N, Singh SK. A Comparative analysis of security issues & vulnerabilities of leading Cloud Service Providers and in-house University Cloud platform for hosting E-Educational applications. In 2021 IEEE Mysore Sub Section International Conference (MysuruCon) 2021 Oct 24 p. 552-560. IEEE.

8. Ahmed FF. Comparative analysis for cloud based e-learning. Procedia Computer Science. 2015 Jan 1;65:368-76.

9. Sidhu J, Singh S. Design and comparative analysis of MCDM-based multi-dimensional trust evaluation schemes for determining trustworthiness of cloud service providers. Journal of Grid Computing. 2017 Jun;15:197-218.

10. Tabassam SY, Sattar I, Manzoor N, Ashraf S. Cloud Service Providers: A Comparative Analysis of Cloud Storage Pricing. International Journal of Computer Applications. 2017;975:8887.

11. Calero JM, Aguado JG. Comparative analysis of architectures for monitoring cloud computing infrastructures. Future Generation Computer Systems. 2015 Jun 1;47:16-30.